# The Crystal Structures of L-Citrulline Hydrochloride and L-Homocitrulline Hydrochloride

BY T. ASHIDA, K. FUNAKOSHI, T. TSUKIHARA, T. UEKI AND M. KAKUDO Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, Kita-ku, Osaka, Japan

(Received 27 August 1971 and in revised form 28 October 1971)

The crystal structures of L-citrulline hydrochloride and its homologue L-homocitrulline hydrochloride have been determined using diffractometer data. L-Citrulline hydrochloride: NH<sub>2</sub>CONH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CH(NH<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>)COOH.Cl<sup>-</sup>, monoclinic, C2, a=18.089, b=5.150, c=11.918 Å,  $\beta=106.9^{\circ}$ , R=0.040, the average e.s.d. of the bond distances 0.005 Å. L-Homocitrulline hydrochloride: NH<sub>2</sub>CONH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CH(NH<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>)COOH.Cl<sup>-</sup>, orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ , a=10.518, b=21.627, c=4.916 Å, R=0.069, the average e.s.d. of the bond distances 0.008 Å. There is a close similarity between the dimensions of the citrulline and homocitrulline molecules in the two crystals. Also there are several common features in the two hydrogen bond schemes. The present L-citrulline HCl structure is compared with that reported previously (Naganathan & Venkatesan, Acta Cryst. (1971), B26, 790), and some significant differences between the two results are pointed out.

#### Introduction

Citrulline is an intermediate in the ornithine cycle in mammals. In nature it is found in the water melon. Although this is not a constituent amino acid of proteins, the conformation and the size of this acid are of importance in connexion with the basic amino acids such as lysine, arginine and ornithine. The present paper deals with the crystal structures of L-citrulline hydrochloride,

 $NH_2CONH(CH_2)_3CH(NH_3^+)COOH.Cl^-$ ,

and its homologue L-homocitrulline hydrochloride, NH<sub>2</sub>CONH(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CH(NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>)COOH.Cl<sup>-</sup>.

When the present paper was under preparation, the crystal structure of L-citrulline hydrochloride was presented by Naganathan & Venkatesan (1971). There are, however, small but significant discrepancies in the two structures obtained independently. The unit cell dimensions and some of the bond distances in their results are a little smaller than those in ours. For a few atoms, their y parameters deviate significantly from ours. Thus our structure of L-citrulline hydrochloride is also reported here, although the primary purpose of the present paper lies in the critical comparison of the structures of citrulline and homocitrulline.

# Experimental

Each crystal was obtained by recrystallization from a solution of the amino acid in a dilute hydrochloride containing a small amount of acetone. Preliminary X-ray work on the crystals was done using the photographic method. The determination of the cell size and the intensity measurements were performed on the

Rigaku Denki Computer-controlled four-circle diffractometer (AFC-II and IV). Zr-filtered Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =0.71069 Å) was used with a pulse height analyser, and integrated intensities were measured by the  $\omega/2\theta$ -scan method, in which the background intensity was estimated from the intensity measurements at the starting and the end points of every scan. Two or three standard reflexions were monitored after the measurement of every 50 reflexions. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, but no absorption correction was made in view of the small linear absorption coefficient for Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation. The crystallographic data and some details of the intensity measurement are listed in Table 1.

## Structure determination

The procedures for the structure determination and the refinement were almost the same for both crystals. Most of the non-hydrogen atoms could be located from the heavy atom Fourier synthesis based on the chloride ion. The hydrogen atoms were found on the difference electron density map, and were included in the refinement. The computation was done on the NEAC 2200-500 computer in the Computing Centre of this University. The block-diagonal least-squares program *HBLS* IV (Ashida, 1967) was used, which minimizes  $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ . The weighting scheme was: w = 1.0 when  $F_o \neq 0$  and w = 0.5 when  $F_o = 0$ . The scattering factors for all the atoms were taken from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962).

### The structure of L-citrulline hydrochloride

The final R value is 0.042 for all reflexions. The final atomic parameters are listed in Tables 2 and 3, and the observed and calculated structure factors in Table 4.

	Citrulline	Homocitrulline hydrochloride
Crystallographic data	nyaroemoriae	nyaroomoriao
Shape of crystals Crystal system Space group a b c β Z Qobs <sup>*</sup> Qcale	plate monoclinic C2 18:089 5:150 11:918 106:9° 4 1:32 1:32	needle orthorhombic $P2_12_12_1$ 10.518 Å (±0.1%) 21.627 4.916 4 1.32 g.cm <sup>-3</sup> 1.34
Experimental		
Scan speed $(2\theta/\min)$ Background counting time Scan range $(2\theta)$ $\mu$ (Mo $K\alpha$ ) Crystal size Maximum $2\theta_B$ No. of reflexions	2 15 1·4+0·76 tan $\theta_B$ 3·62 0·20×0·15×0·35 55 1363	4° 7.5 sec 2.0+0.7 tan $\theta_B^{\dagger}$ 3.45 cm <sup>-1</sup> 0.05 × 0.33 × 0.30 mm 55° 1691
	* by the flotation method $\dagger \theta_B$ : Bragg angle	

Table 1. The crystallographic data and experimental conditions

Table 2. Citrulline hydrochloride: the final atomic coordinates (fractional) and thermal parameters  $(\times 10^4)$  with standard deviations in parentheses

Standard deviations are in units of the last digits. The anisotropic coefficients have the form: exp  $\{-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + \beta_{12}hk + \beta_{13}hl + \beta_{23}kl)\}$ 

	x	у	z	<b>\$</b> 11	β22	β <sub>33</sub>	$\beta_{12}$	$\beta_{13}$	$\beta_{23}$
Cl	0.1568 (1)	0.2500 (3)	0.1479 (1)	40 (0)	296 (3)	56 (1)	6 (2)	47 (1)	41 (3)
C(1)	0.1504 (2)	0.6016 (8)	0.4831 (3)	19 (1)	274 (15)	43 (2)	-1(7)	7 (2)	9 (10)
C(2)	0.1353 (2)	0.8132 (7)	0.3917 (3)	20 (1)	216 (14)	49 (2)	-5 (6)	9 (2)	-1 (9)
C(3)	0.0495 (2)	0.8454 (8)	0.3247 (3)	19 (1)	221 (12)	58 (2)	8 (6)	11 (3)	22 (10)
C(4)	0.0092 (2)	0.6004 (8)	0.2659 (3)	18 (1)	247 (15)	68 (3)	-6(7)	13 (3)	-17 (11)
C(5)	-0.0716(2)	0.6725 (8)	0.1895 (3)	19 (1)	333 (17)	62 (3)	-26 (7)	8 (3)	31 (11)
C(6)	-0.1620(2)	0.3078 (8)	0.1709 (3)	16 (1)	343 (18)	49 (2)	4 (7)	12 (2)	- 39 (10)
N(1)	0.1821 (1)	0.7586 (8)	0.3105 (2)	20 (1)	263 (11)	57 (2)	-16 (7)	21 (2)	41 (11)
N(2)	-0.1155 (2)	0.4522 (9)	0.1275 (3)	24 (1)	518 (18)	45 (2)	-70 (8)	20 (2)	-47 (11)
N(3)	<i>−</i> 0·2075 (2)	0.1385 (8)	0.0964 (3)	27 (1)	395 (15)	50 (2)	-43 (7)	20 (2)	-65 (10)
O(1)	0.1921 (1)	0·4191 (6)	0.4823 (2)	27 (1)	268 (10)	57 (2)	29 (5)	20 (2)	47 (8)
O(2)	0.1148 (2)	0.6443 (8)	0.5614 (2)	47 (1)	577 (18)	64 (2)	150 (8)	58 (3)	139 (11)
O(3)	-0·1646 (1)	0.3252 (7)	0.2735 (2)	31 (1)	480 (16)	49 (2)	-65 (7)	33 (2)	- 85 (9)

The bond distances and angles are shown in Fig. 1. The averages of the standard deviations for the bond distances and angles among the C, N and O atoms are 0.005 Å and  $0.3^{\circ}$  respectively.

The lengths of the seven C-H bonds are in the range 0.96 to 1.11 Å with an average of 1.02 Å, and the lengths of the six N-H bonds range from 0.90 to 0.99 Å with an average of 0.95 Å. One O-H bond is 1.05 Å long.

As expected, the crystal structure obtained agrees essentially with that reported by Naganathan & Venkatesan (1971). The citrulline molecule consists of three planar groups, the carboxyl group (I), the aliphatic *trans* zigzag chain (II) and the carbamylamino group (III). The equations of the best planes are listed in Table 5, and the relative orientations of these planar Table 3. The final parameters of the hydrogen atoms

	x	у	z	<i>B</i> (Ų)	Bonded to
H(1)	0.173	0.606	0.268	2.7	N(1)
H(2)	0.176	0.892	0.255	1.8	N(1)
H(3)	0.237	0.775	0.346	2.4	N(1)
H(4)	-0.117	0.456	0.052	1.2	N(2)
H(5)	-0.502	0.112	0.020	2.7	N(3)
H(6)	-0.234	-0.003	0.125	1.8	N(3)
H(7)	0.130	0.518	0.633	5∙0	O(2)
H(21)	0.155	0.974	0.431	1.5	C(2)
H(31)	0.041	0.995	0.262	1.0	C(3)
H(32)	0.023	0.918	0.376	0.2	C(3)
H(41)	0.002	0.483	0.326	2.5	C(4)
H(42)	0.043	0.524	0.212	1.0	C(4)
H(51)	-0.101	0.785	0.243	1.6	C(5)
H(52)	-0.068	0.801	0.131	1.2	C(5)

 $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle = 0.04 \langle \sigma(y) \rangle = 0.05 \langle \sigma(z) \rangle = 0.04 \text{ Å}$  $\langle \sigma(B) \rangle = 0.9 \text{ Å}^2$  groups are shown in Fig. 5. These three planes are found to intersect roughly perpendicularly to each other; the dihedral angles are 88° for I and II, 77° for I and III, and 90° for II and III.

Since the hydrogen bond scheme is the same as that given in Fig. 2 of Naganathan & Venkatesan (1971), the packing diagram is omitted here. The H(3) atom of the  $\alpha$ -amino group participates in the bifurcated hydrogen bond, in which the two acceptor atoms are located fairly symmetrically with respect to the N<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>-H(3) bond. Some of the details around the hydrogen bonds are shown in Fig. 2.

Table 4. Citrulline hydrochloride:observed and calculated structure factors





Fig. 1. Bond distances and angles. The averages of the standard deviations are 0.005 Å and 0.3° for citrulline, and 0.008 Å and 0.5° for homocitrulline.

# The structure of L-homocitrulline hydrochloride

The final R value is 0.069 for all non-zero reflexions, and it is 0.086 if all the reflexions are included. The parameters are listed in Tables 6 and 7, and the observed and calculated structure factors in Table 8. The bond distances and angles are shown in Fig. 1. The averages of the standard deviations for the bond distances and angles are 0.008 Å and 0.5° respectively.

The nine  $\overline{C}$ -H bond distances range from 0.96 to 1.07 Å with an average of 1.02 Å, and the six N-H bonds from 0.85 to 1.02 Å with an average of 0.91 Å. One O-H distance is 0.92 Å.

The homocitrulline molecule also consists of three planar groups, the carboxyl group (I), the *trans* zigzag aliphatic chain (II), and the carbamylamino group (III). The equations of the planes are listed in Table 9. The dihedral angles among the planes are 100° for I and II, 88° for I and III, and 96° for II and III.

The homocitrulline molecule and the chloride ion are held together in the crystal by seven hydrogen bonds. The hydrogen bond scheme is shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

#### Discussion

## **Conformation**

The conformations of the citrulline and homocitrulline molecules in their hydrochloride crystals are compared in Fig. 5. As mentioned previously, each molecule consists of the three planar groups, the carTable 5. Citrulline hydrochloride: best planes and displacements from the planes

(a) Equ	ations of the best planes	Atoms				
X = ax-	$-cz\cos\beta, Y=by, Z=cz\sin\beta$					
I†	0.6553X + 0.5124Y + 0.5550Z = 5.3359	O(1) O(2) C(1) C(2)				
II†	0.5641X + 0.2160Y - 0.7970Z = -2.1024	N(2) C(2) C(3) C(4) C(5)				
ш	0.6604X - 0.6971Y + 0.2791Z = -2.7712	O(3) N(2) N(3) C(6)				

boxyl group, the carbamylamino group and the aliphatic side chain. A fully extended planar conformation of the aliphatic side chain is a common characteristic of the related basic amino acids so far reported. The conformations of the two molecules are, however, different from each other owing to the internal rotation of the  $C^{\alpha}-C^{\beta}$  bonds. The conformation of the carboxyl group and the aliphatic chain in citrulline is the same as that of arginine found in its dihydrate (Karle & Karle, 1964), while the conformation of the lysine part of homocitrulline is the one which is found in L-lysine.HCl.2H<sub>2</sub>O (Wright & Marsh, 1962). The difference in the conformations may be due to the necessity of making up the effective hydrogen bond Table 5 (cont.)

(b) Displacements (Å) of atoms from the planes

I		II		111	
O(1)	0.002	N(2)	0.019	O(3)	-0.001
O(2)	0.002	C(2)	0.061	N(2)	-0.001
C(1)	-0.006	C(3)	-0·039	N(3)	-0.001
C(2)	0.002	C(4)	-0·072	C(6)	0.002
N(1)*	0.083	C(5)	0.027	C(5)*	-0.211
C(3)*	-1.202	N(1)*	1.375	H(4)*	-0.102
H(7)*	0.139	C(1)*	- 1.029	H(5)*	0.095
		C(6)*	- 1·095	H(6)*	0.210

\* Atoms with asterisks are not included in the calculation of the best planes.

† In the report of Naganathan & Venkatesan (1971) there seem to be misprints in the signs of coefficients of the equations of the planes II and III.

Table 6. Homocitrulline	hydrochloride: the fina	l atomic coordin	ates and the	ermal parameters i	with
	standard	deviations			

	x	у	z	$\beta_{11}$	$\beta_{22}$	$\beta_{33}$	$\beta_{12}$	$\beta_{13}$	$\beta_{23}$
Cl	0.0695 (1)	0.8285(1)	0.0238(2)	81 (1)	16 (0)	356 (5)	2 (1)	-56(5)	1 (2)
C(1)	1.0819 (5)	1.0182 (2)	0.3161 (11)	65 (5)	17 (1)	321 (21)	25 (4)	- 39 (19)	-18(8)
C(2)	1.0286 (4)	0.9707 (2)	0.5109 (11)	62 (4)	14 (1)	267 (19)	9 (3)	24 (18)	-1(8)
C(3)	0.8987 (5)	0·9497 (2)	0.3976 (10)	51 (4)	20 (1)	388 (25)	10 (4)	-36(18)	- 44 (9)
C(4)	0.8316 (5)	0.8992 (2)	0.5588 (12)	57 (4)	22 (1)	368 (25)	17 (4)	- 57 (20)	- 32 (10)
C(5)	0.7169 (5)	0.8750 (3)	0.3993 (13)	56 (4)	23 (1)	456 (29)	20 (4)	-61 (21)	-8 (11)
C(6)	0.6486 (5)	0.8227(2)	0.5485 (13)	68 (5)	18 (1)	484 (29)	16 (4)	-94 (22)	- 28 (11)
C(7)	0.4358 (5)	0.8172(2)	0.3359(12)	57 (4)	16(1)	432 (24)	16 (4)	-26 (21)	7 (9)
N(1)	1.1201 (4)	0.9180(2)	0.5210 (10)	56 (3)	17 (1)	332 (19)	6 (3)	-11 (17)	- 18 (8)
N(2)	0·5528 (4)	0.7939 (2)	0·3767 (12)	64 (4)	15 (1)	607 (28)	4 (3)	- 89 (21)	33 (9)
N(3)	0.3669 (4)	0.7883(2)	0.1422(12)	72 (4)	22 (1)	541 (28)	-8(4)	-93 (21)	98 (10)
O(1)	1.1652 (4)	1.0057 (2)	0.1547 (9)	77 (4)	23 (1)	422 (19)	3 (3)	97 (16)	- 46 (8)
<b>O</b> (2)	1.0257 (4)	1.0713 (2)	0.3348 (10)	131 (5)	18(1)	605 (26)	-11(4)	224 (22)	- 57 (8)
O(3)	0·3909 (̀3)́	0.8613(2)	0.4663 (10)	76 (3)	22 (1)	587 (24)	-13(3)	- 87 (18)	102 (9)



Fig. 2. Citrulline hydrochloride: The environments of the hydrogen bonds viewed down the N-C bonds. The donor-acceptor distances are shown near by the acceptor atoms. The H-acceptor distances and the donor-H-acceptor angles are also shown. The H(3) atom participates in a bifurated hydrogen bond. One more hydrogen bond in the crystal is  $O(2)-H(7)\cdots O(3)$ ;  $O(2)\cdots O(3)=2\cdot520$  Å,  $H(7)\cdots O(3)=1\cdot49$  Å,  $\angle O(2)-H(7)\cdots O(3)=169^{\circ}$ .

Table 7. Homocitrulline hydrochloride: the final parameters of the hydrogen atoms

	x	у	Z	<i>B</i> (Å <sup>2</sup> )	Bonded to
H(1)	1.108	0.897	0.668	1.9	N(1)
H(2)	1.124	0.893	0.346	3.1	N(1)
H(3)	1.205	0.935	0.518	3.6	N(1)
H(4)	0.571	0.759	0.292	1.7	N(2)
H(5)	0.396	0.761	0.034	2.6	N(3)
H(6)	0.291	0.807	0.135	5.1	N(3)
H(7)	1.057	1.096	0.199	6.0	O(2)
H(21)	1.021	0.989	0.711	1.8	C(2)
H(31)	0.846	0.988	0.397	0.9	C(3)
H(32)	0.916	0.937	0·196	1.1	C(3)
H(41)	0.808	0.912	0.760	3.9	C(4)
H(42)	0.888	0.865	0.590	0.9	C(4)
H(51)	0.657	0.908	0.370	2.0	C(5)
H(52)	0.742	0.864	0.212	3.5	C(5)
H(61)	0.611	0.837	0.732	1.8	C(6)
H(62)	0.714	0.789	0.600	1.2	C(6)
	$\langle \sigma(x) \rangle = 0.06$	$\langle \sigma(y) \rangle =$	$0.06 \langle \sigma(z) \rangle$	$\rangle = 0.07$	Å
	$\langle \sigma(B) \rangle = 1.4$	Å2	• • •		

Table 8. Homocitrulline	hydrochloride	?:	
observed and calculated struc	cture factors	(×5)	

			* ** **				. FG FC	# FO FC
H.L. 0 0	10 93 54	0 140 144	8 23 18	15 58 65	8 108 103	90 O O	27 30 30	27 19 2
2 80 81 4 120 134	11 94 96	1 164 163	9 10 2 10 18 14	10 40 42	9 17 19	10 12 20 11 29 20	0 141 150	200 0 /
6 335 344 8 227 221	13. 0 0	3 134 129	110 0 14	18 29 33	12 92 90	12 29 27	2 13 14	1 10 80
10 155 155	15 54 53	5 60 58	13 23 18	20 21 24	13 14 13	14 23 20	3 63 64	2 118 119 3 148 140
14	17 21 20	7 29 20	H.L. 2 0	22 29 29	15 65 66	14 19 22	5 84 82 6 118 120	4 67 63
10 30 33	19 25 20	9 124 120	1 86 73	24 14 17	17 13 23	18 27 29	7 46 51 8 36 36	6 20 24 7 102 97
22 24 14	21 34 26	114 0 11	3 109 100	20 34 35 H.L. 2 4	19 44 49 20 85 89	H.L. 3 6 0 17 15	• 01 60 10 27 29	8 43 47 9 96 96
26 72 71	23 21 6	13 56 57	5 204 206	0 50 55	21 40 44 22 43	1 32 32 20 14	11 45 44	10 87 87 11 48 47
30 19 10	H.L. 0 5	15 22 10	7 100 100 A 297 299	2 36 43 3 27 24	23 15 11 24 37 31	4 29 10	13 29 31 14• 0 10	12 45 46
1 175 171	2. 5 3	17 59 50	9 262 197 10 78 76	4 35 30 5 38 44	25. 0 5	5 29 20 6 23 13	15 30 35	14 37 60
3 364 378	4 10 13	19 28 31 20 33 33	11 146 144	8 61 63 7 76 80	27 14 21 28 26 33	7 25 19 8 26 19	17 12 10	16 14 10
5 93 101	6 19 12 7 21 27	21 23 23 22 96 57	13 162 101	8 38 35 9 48 52	294 0 Hila J 2	10 19 10	20 11 27	19 14 21
7 150 100 8 61 50	8 54 51 9 13 1	23 27 25 24 36 30	15 69 66	10 36 32	1 101 176	12 22 25		20 20 33
0 05 04	10 17 9 11 22 17	20 0	10 0 8	12 27 30	3 210 205	130 U 11	24 22 25	23 22 27
11 17/ 173	12 12 4	20 17 21	20 71 72	15 17 12	3 70 71	1 129 130		25 24 26
13 10- 101	15 34 20	0 13 13	22 35 35	17 20 24	7 152 148	3 130 13/	1 61 56	27. 0 12
10 5/ 50	17 20 18	1 01 01	24. 0 8	19 41 30	9 125 123	5 71 75	3 42 35	H,L 3 2
17 OJ OZ 18 40 40	10 19 23 19 41 30	3 122 120	25 25 28	20 23 27		7 99 95	5 33 27	1 66 64
20 21 21	20 15 8 H.L. 0 0	5 60 53 8 61 64	20 10 17	22 28 29	12 17 19		7 55 58	3 05 04
21 1. 1	0 47 53	7 38 36 8 69 73	29 13 11 H.L. 2 1	-L 2	15 60 59	11 13 12	9. 0 3	5 117 113
11 11	3 36 36	9 103 100	1 178 179	1 18 22	17 28 24	13 125 124	11 50 51	7 55 52
20	· · · ·	12 88 96	3 198 195	3 50 47	19 30 39	15 20 29	13 53 51	9 64 60 10 83 83
20 1/ 9	7 0 10	14 54 57	154 149	5 24 10	21 36 33	17 104 103	15 38 33	11 28 22
F.L. 0 4	9 37 32	16 68 71	7 126 119	7 21 29	23 47 49	19 34 2/	17 12 12	13 42 52
1 52 54	11 25 22	18 58 54	9 124 121	9 23 26	25 12 11 26 20 22	21 46 50	19 24 35 20 13 14	15 64 89
3 4 4	13+ 0 4	20 18 18	11 144 130	11 10 24	27 17 18	23 42 44 24 8 10	21 27 28	17 57 59
5 106 111	L 1	22 15 21	13 109 105	13 0 17	0 33 31	25 47 47 20 24 20	23. 0 10 H.L. 4 5	19 16 13 20 18 14
7 60 0/	2 27 17	24 20 17	15 38 40	15 30 29	1 85 87	27 29 21	1 11 13	21 39 33 22 33 34
9 56 56	4 200 197	20 0 2	17 8 12	17 7 17	3 62 00	29 10 14	2 32 35	23 30 30 24 25
11 118 114	0 00 01 7 79 75	F.L. 1 4	10 80 85	10 31 24 20 10 10	5 119 119	1 84 80	3 40 44	20 20 30
13 63 64	8 191 191	1 43 46	21 26 23	0 39 39	7 18 13	2 232 229	6 34 26 7 42 38	27. 13
15 77 70	10 150 157	3 42 42	23 21 18	2 26 27	0 28 28 10 113 119	4 209 202 5 57 52	9 43 43	1 00 71
17 11 14	$12 71 74 \\ 13 137 138$	5 54 6U 6 59 59	25 29 33 20 31 31	3 21 18	11 64 69 17 52 51	6 224 21/ 7 104 104	10 17 24	3 24 23
19 47 47	14 116 108	7 22 15	27 13 12 28 9 8	5 23 19	13 72 71	8 150 142 9 49 41	12 42 36	106 106
21 14 1	16 204 200	9 46 41 1) 90 91	20 14 4 H.L 2 2	7 9 5 8- 0 18	15 20 25	10 118 113	15. 0 10	7 14 15
23 34 27	16 19 23	11 18 13	0 84 /8 1 189 185	9 28 21 10 18 13	17 37 38	13 19 14	17 42 41	
25 14 1	20 7 8	13 14	3 37 30	12 19 19	20+ 0 8	17. 0 11	19 21 10	11 47 44
270 U 0 26 14 10	22 61 60 23 20 22	15 11 20 10• 0 0	4 60 53 5 79 81	13 23 13	22 29 30	17 50 44		13 39 31
1 39 41	24 13 14 25• 0 1	17 25 26	7 210 214	1 418 420	24 34 74	19 11 19	2 31 25	15 20 31
3 124 179	20 23 17	20 10 20	9 117 112	5 322 324	26 22	21 91 46	4 22 20	17 19 15 16 30 29
5 155 151	29 8 11	22 40 47	11 03 04	5 54 57	2 76 76	23 26 37	0 10 / 7 9 22	10 26 23
1 101 9/	3n 11	244 0 7	1	7 167 163	3 20 24	26 32 37	8 1º 1¢ 9 21 1;	21 15
9 34 37	1 101 104	13 14	17 21 24	9 78 77	4 27 27	21 22 19	10- 0 11 11 4 10	23• 0 A 24 37 26
1 1/ 30	3 517 561	69 74	1/ 22 /0	11 141 135	6 49 70 7 49 48	1 144 130	12 23 19	25 22 1 F.L. 3
	5 247 293	3 28 1u 20 20	10 63 44	15 60 60	0 75 73 V 7c 09	1 131 128	1 112 177 2 101 98	1 10 100
19 20- 275	7 251 229	A 45 50	21 57 51	10 19 21	10 18 8	4 151 14/	4 78 74	3 38 37
	9 163 164	8 40 40 9 51 49	23 29 61	10 27 33	12 46 49	6 30 27	0 20 20	5 74 79
-9 5: 51	11 104 105	100 3 12	2- 32 33 20 18 18	14 97 163	14 35 34	6 91 85	8 10 10	7 24 25
	14 4 23	12 11 12	27 8 14	22 31 31	10 10 10	10 34 30	10 171 165	0 10 20 10 57 57
23 /	15 40 35	14 39 36	0 93 93	24 26 30	14 3 4	12 73 70	12 35 32	11 50 43 12 41 35
26 25 25	17 119 120 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	10 20 1/ 17 29 31	2 120 1/3	25 31 26	21 17 14	14 32 32	14 17 10	13 19 23
	19 19 17	18 27 27	3 96 98	20 17 10	25 20 41	16 37 37	16 71 69	15 14 19
J 3: 29	21 24 30	27 8 14 H.L. 1 0	3 119 119	40 18 20 H.L. 3 1	0 20 23	14 54 5/	18 44 40	17 13 10 18 21 24
1 5 5	1 62 67	0 9 10 1 46 47	1 52 51 8 7 15	1 85 81	2 24 19	20 11 3	20 19 20	194 2 14
5 2 27	10 17 22	; <b>1</b>	11 48 48	3 150 140	4 38 42	22 20 24	22 43 41 23 0 10	21 29 20 22 13 21
0 7 70	27 35 31 28 23 24	40 U 13 50 U 11	11 57 55	\$ 231 224	0 18 10	24 36 23	24 14 3	0 20 21
8 34 54	29 28 34	7 17 24	13 34 38	6 309 207 7 185 177	0 20 31	20 14 0	26 29 27	1 27 29

		Tabl	e 8 (ca	ont.)			
<pre>x x y x y x y x y x y x y x y x y x y x</pre>	K 10 1 228278 21 1 4 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2			ont.)	x 41287 2017 3 * 0 7 5 * 0 1212 1212 15 2 0 * 7 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 * 0 *		K • 1011014 7 = 10 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +
1 <td>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td> <td>:;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;</td> <td></td> <td>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</td> <td>1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1</td> <td></td> <td>, 0 - 1 30,1114 - 47,777, 57,877,789,07,139,1137,737,789,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,439,7 - 1 7,959,74,131,72,459,427,939,71144,039,121,77,73,80,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,439,7 - 1 7,959,74,131,72,459,427,939,7144,039,121,77,74,80,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,04,20,74 - 1 7,959,714,145,77,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,</td>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	:;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		, 0 - 1 30,1114 - 47,777, 57,877,789,07,139,1137,737,789,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,439,7 - 1 7,959,74,131,72,459,427,939,71144,039,121,77,73,80,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,439,7 - 1 7,959,74,131,72,459,427,939,7144,039,121,77,74,80,00 - 0 - 7,84,44,04,20,74 - 1 7,959,714,145,77,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,74,
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1v+ 0 / 2: 15 / 2: 46 47 2/4 0 12 2: 37 3v H.L. 7 2 1 114 114 2 0 42	1 0 17 2 50 57 5 24 21 4 10 14 7 20 25 25 7 75 174 7 75 174 9 50 99	13 20 28 14 35 31 17 11 9 16 0 13 1/ 17 9 13 27 17 H.L. 8 4 C 10 7 1 20 17	r 42 47   v 70 65   10 26 30   11 22 24   12 24 25   13 10 15   14 17 16   15 16 17	10 11 10 1/ 19 10 18 18 19 / H-Le 10 / 0+ 0 10 1 47 51 / 13 13 3 33 34 4 40 40	0	1 42 35 2 18 19 3 24 19 4 37 41 5 10 22 6 0 1 1 7 30 28

networks rather than to the intramolecular steric hindrance.

# Bond distances and angles

All the bond distances and angles found in the present study agree well with those which have been found most commonly in many amino acids and related compounds. Furthermore, the similarity between the dimensions of the citrulline and homocitrulline molecules in the two crystals is striking. The only exception is the  $C^{\beta}-C^{\alpha}-C'$  (carboxyl) angle: 113.5° in citrulline and 107.4° in homocitrulline. The difference in the angles is significant. It cannot, however, be elucidated simply from the difference in the conformations of the two molecules alone. The angles in other related compounds are 108.4° in L-arginine. 2H<sub>2</sub>O (Karle & Karle, 1964), 109.8° in L-lysine. HCl. 2H<sub>2</sub>O (Wright & Marsh, 1962), 110.2° in L-ornithine. HCl (Chiba, Ueki, Ashida, Sasada & Kakudo, 1967), 110.0 and 111.0° in L-arginine. HCl. H2O (Dow, Jensen, Mazumdar, Srinivasan & Ramachandran, 1970).

The  $C^{\alpha}-C'$  bond distances in both crystals seem to be somewhat shorter than the  $C^{\alpha}-C^{\beta}$  distances. However, on the basis of available data for accurately determined structures of the amino acids with an unionized carboxyl group, Sundaralingam & Putkey (1970) have concluded that the  $C^{\alpha}-C'$  ( $sp^{3}-sp^{2}$ ) distance is comparable with the  $C^{\alpha}-C^{\beta}$  ( $sp^{3}-sp^{3}$ ) distance, and as weighted averages, they proposed the values of 1.524 Table 9. Homocitrulline hydrochloride: best planes and displacements from the planes

(a) Ec	quations of the best planes	Atoms
The co	pordinates $(X, Y, Z)$ are in Å.	
I	-0.6790X - 0.2794Y - 0.6789Z = -8.8774	O(1) O(2) C(1) C(2)
II	-0.5334X + 0.6811Y + 0.5016Z = -4.8597	C(2) $C(3)$ $C(4)$ $C(5)$ $C(6)$
III	0.3641X + 0.6317Y - 0.6844Z = -1.9639	O(3) N(2) N(3) C(7)

and 1.523 Å, for the  $C^{\alpha}-C'$  and  $C^{\alpha}-C^{\beta}$  distances respectively. The average bond distances of the C-C single bonds in the side chains are 1.527 Å for citrulline and 1.531 Å for homocitrulline. These values are in close agreement with those found in the related compounds mentioned above. The shape and the size of the carbamylamino group in both crystals coincide well with those reported for urea (Vaughan & Donohue, 1952) and those for the peptide linkage given by Corey & Pauling (1952). The bond distances of the C(2)-N(2) bonds, 1.455 and 1.457 Å, are in close agreement with an average value of 1.455 Å for the N-C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> bonds in the peptide linkages (Marsh & Donohue, 1967).

# Hydrogen bonds

The overall hydrogen bond schemes in the two crystals are very different, resulting in a difference in the packing in the crystals. The 'local' hydrogen bond schemes are, however, essentially the same for both crystals, although there is not a bifurcated hydrogen bond in the homocitrulline crystal (Figs. 3 and 5). All the hydrogen atoms of the carbamylamino nitrogen atoms form hydrogen bonds to the chloride ions, the mean distance of the six hydrogen bonds in both crystals being 3.338 Å. Each  $\alpha$ -amino group forms two hydrogen bonds to the chloride ions, the mean distance of the four bonds being 3.175 Å. Thus the hydrogen bond between the  $\alpha$ -amino group and the chloride ion is significantly stronger than the one between the carbamylamino group and the chloride ion. It is interesting to remember that the hydrogen bonds in urea are 'weak', the distances of the two N-H...O bonds being 2.99 and 3.04 Å (Vaughan & Donohue, 1952). The lengthening of these hydrogen bonds is due to the smaller formal positive charge on the nitrogen atoms in the carbamylamino group and in urea.

The other hydrogen atom of the  $\alpha$ -amino group forms a bond of 2.873 Å to the oxygen atom of the carboxyl group in homocitrulline, while in citrulline it forms a bifurcated bond to an oxygen atom of the carboxyl group (2.948 Å) and to the oxygen atom of the carbamylamino group (2.952 Å). The donor-acceptor distance in a simple hydrogen bond is shorter than that in a bifurcated one.

In the crystal there is one more hydrogen bond of the type O-H···O, which connects the carboxyl group with the carbamylamino group of the neighbouring molecules, the distance being 2.520 Å in citrulline and 2.485 Å in homocitrulline. Both the hydrogen bonds are fairly strong. Table 9 (cont.)

(b) Displacements (Å) of atoms from the planes

I		11		III	
<b>O</b> (1)	0.002	C(2)	-0.083	O(3)	-0.002
O(2)	0.002	C(3)	0.058	N(2)	-0.002
C(1)	<i>−</i> 0·014	C(4)	0.087	N(3)	-0.002
C(2)	0.004	C(5)	- 0·019	C(7)	0.006
N(1)*	-0.365	C(6)	- 0.039	C(6)*	0.180
H(7)*	0.082	N(2)*	- 0.349	H(4)*	-0.123
		C(1)*	-0.163	H(5)*	0.109
				H(6)*	-0.019

\* Atoms with asterisks are not included in the calculation of the best planes.



Fig. 3. Homocitrulline hydrochloride: the structure seen along the c axis, the c axis downwards. Hydrogen bonds are shown by broken lines.



Fig. 4. Homocitrulline hydrochloride: The environments of the hydrogen bonds. One more hydrogen bond is O(2)-H(7)···O(3); O(2)···O(3)=2·485 Å, H(7)···O(3)=1·57 Å,  $\angle O(2)$ -H(7)···O(3)=180°.



Fig. 5. A comparison of the conformations of citrulline and homocitrulline molecules in their hydrochlorides. One is a view of the molecule projected onto the plane of  $C'-C_{\alpha}-N_{\alpha}$ , while the another shows the internal rotation of the  $C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$  bond.

# Comments on the two citrulline hydrochloride structures

The crystal structure of L-citrulline hydrochloride reported by Naganathan & Venkatesan (1971) shows systematic variations from the present result. Their *a*, *b* and *c* are all about  $1\cdot 2\%$  smaller than ours. They found some of the bond distances to be shorter than usual: for example, C(1)-O(2),  $1\cdot 251$  Å ( $1\cdot 297$  Å); C(2)-N(1),  $1\cdot 460$  ( $1\cdot 486$ ); C(3)-C(4),  $1\cdot 491$  ( $1\cdot 522$ ); C(5)-N(2),  $1\cdot 414$  ( $1\cdot 457$ ); N(1)-H(2)...Cl,  $3\cdot 074$ ( $3\cdot 139$ ), where the values in the parentheses are the present ones. These distances in our result agree well with those usually found in the related compounds. The shorter bond distances obtained by N & V seem to be mainly attributable to their small unit cell dimensions.

The x and z parameters in both the results show a satisfactory agreement, while the parameters such as y,  $\beta_{22}$ ,  $\beta_{12}$  and  $\beta_{23}$  show fairly large discrepancies. The largest deviation is found with respect to N(2), for which y differs by  $9\sigma$ ,  $\beta_{22}$  by  $6\sigma$  and  $\beta_{12}$  by  $16\sigma$  ( $\sigma$  being those in our result). The systematic discrepancies are mostly found in the y parameters, so that all the C, N and O atoms are unidirectionally displaced with a mean displacement  $\langle \Delta y \rangle = 0.0052$  (ranging from 0.0014 to 0.0084 with the same sign, 0.0052 corresponding to  $6\sigma$ ).

At the refinement stage, N & V used the full-matrix least-squares procedure. In order to make an comparison appropriate, therefore, a full-matrix least-squares refinement procedure was also applied to our final result. However, shifts exceeding  $\sigma/4$  did not occur. In view of this fact, it is likely that the discrepancies in parameters found between the two results are mainly due to the differences in the intensity measurement and data processing. Incidentally, N & V's work was done using Weissenberg photographs around one axis, with scaling by layerwise Wilson plots.

# References

- ASHIDA, T. (1967). The Universal Crystallographic Computing System (I), p. 65. Japanese Crystallographic Association.
- CHIBA, A., UEKI, T., ASHIDA, T., SASADA, Y. & KAKUDO, M. (1967). Acta Cryst. 22, 863.
- COREY, R. B. & PAULING, L. (1953). Proc. Roy. Soc. B141, 10.
- Dow, J., JENSEN, L. H., MAZUMDAR, S., SRINIVASAN, R. & RAMACHANDRAN, G. N. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1662. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KARLE, I. L. & KARLE, J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 835.
- MARSH, R. E. & DONOHUE, J. (1967). Advanc. Protein Chem. 22, 235.
- NAGANATHAN, P. S. & VENKATESAN, K. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1079.
- SUNDARALINGAM, M. & PUTKEY, E. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 790.
- VAUGHAN, P. & DONOHUE, J. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 530.
- WRIGHT, D. A. & MARSH, R. E. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 54.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 1374

# The Crystal and Molecular Structures of the 1:1 Addition Compounds of Piperidine with *p*-Bromobenzoic Acid and *p*-Chlorobenzoic Acid

BY SETSUO KASHINO, YASUSHI SUMIDA AND MASAO HAISA

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Tsushima, Okayama, Japan

(Received 6 October 1971 and in revised form 22 November 1971)

The structures of the two 1:1 addition compounds of piperidine with *p*-bromobenzoic acid, BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H.C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N, and with *p*-chlorobenzoic acid, ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H.C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N, have been determined from three-dimensional X-ray diffraction data. Both compounds crystallize in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca* with eight formula units in the unit cell. The cell dimensions are: a = 10.70, b = 27.30, c = 9.04 Å for the *p*-bromo compound, and a = 10.68, b = 26.37, c = 9.01 Å for the *p*-chloro compound. The structures were refined by the block-diagonal least-squares method, with anisotropic temperature factors. The final *R* values for observed reflexions are 0.086 and 0.123 for the *p*-bromo and *p*-chloro compounds respectively. Both structures are isotypic. The structure is composed of an alternate sequence of acid and base moieties held together by N<sup>+</sup>-H···O<sup>-</sup> hydrogen bonds. The N<sup>+</sup>-H···O<sup>-</sup> distance ranges from 2.67 to 2.76 Å. The hydrogen bonds form an infinite chain around the twofold screw axis parallel to the *c* axis. These molecular chains are packed intimately by van der Waals forces to make up a whole crystal. In either compound, the piperidine ring takes a normal chair form, and the carboxyl group is twisted out of the plane of the benzene ring at an angle of about 8°.

# Introduction

The formation of the solid 1:1 and 2:1 addition compounds of benzoic acid and piperidine and those of some *p*-substituted benzoic acids and piperidine have previously been reported (Kashino, 1967; Kashino, Kanei & Hasegawa, 1971). The infrared spectra of the 1:1 compounds were very similar to those of the corresponding sodium benzoates. Thus, it was concluded that the acid moiety in the 1:1 compounds is almost fully ionized. However, the symmetric carboxylate stretching of the adducts showed significantly lower values of 1385–1375 cm<sup>-1</sup> compared with the values of 1427–1406 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the corresponding sodium benzoates.

It may be desirable to obtain information about the spatial configuration of individual molecules in these crystals and more information about the nature of the bond between the acid and the base components. As a first step, the crystal structure determinations of the present compounds were undertaken.

## Experimental

The 1:1 addition compound of *p*-bromobenzoic acid and piperidine was prepared by dissolving equimolar quantities of *p*-bromobenzoic acid and piperidine in dry benzene. The compound crystallized as transparent tabular plates having well developed {010}. As the compound was hygroscopic and gradually decomposed to its acid and base components, the specimens cut from larger crystals were sealed in glass capillaries. Two different crystals with approximate cross-sections of  $0.12 \times 0.18$  mm and  $0.10 \times 0.15$  mm were used for the X-ray analysis, the former for *a* axis photographs and the latter for *c* axis photographs. Using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å), multiple-film equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs were taken for the layers from